



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,055	11/15/2001	Richard Lee Fink	12179-P092US	4370

7590 05/21/2003
Kelly K. Kordzik, Esq.
Winstead, Sechrest & Minick
5400 Renaissance Tower, 1201 Elm Street
Dallas, TX 75270

EXAMINER

WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2879

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/002,055

Applicant(s)

FINK ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph L. Williams

Art Unit

2879

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 4-6, 8-10, 12, and 14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brodie et al. (US 5,063,327).
4. Regarding claim 1, Brodie ('327) teaches in figures 1 and 4 and in column 1, line 40 through column 5, line 20 a display apparatus (11) comprising: a cathode (13) having an electron emissive material (41); a grid electrode positioned in proximity to the cathode, the grid electrode having a plurality of grid portions (44, 46, 47) each defining a pixel site; and control circuitry (21) for controlling each of the plurality of grid portions to independently cause an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material at each pixel site.

Art Unit: 2879

5. Regarding claim 4, Brodie ('327) teaches in figures 1 and 4, and in column 4, lines 3-7, the plurality of grid portions further comprises a first grid portion (44), a second grid portion (46), and a third grid portion (47), and wherein the control circuitry is operable for activating the first, second, and third grid portions individually from each other.

6. Regarding claim 5, Brodie ('327) teaches in figure 4 that the plurality of grid portions are substantially coplanar with each other.

7. Regarding claim 6, Brodie ('327) teaches in figure 4 that the grid electrode comprises a grid substrate (41), wherein the first, second, and third grid portions are mounted on the grid substrate.

8. Regarding claim 8, Brodie ('327) teaches in figures 1 and 4 and in column 1, line 40 through column 5, line 20 a display apparatus comprising: a cathode (13) having an electron emissive material (41) deposited thereon; a grid electrode having first (44), second (46), and third grid portions (47); and a first control circuit for controlling activation of the first grid portion so as to control an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material proximate to the first grid portion; a second control circuit for controlling activation of the second grid portion so as to control an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material proximate to the second grid portion; a third control circuit for controlling activation of the third grid portion so as to control an emission of

electrons from the electron emissive material proximate to the third grid portion, wherein the first, second, and third control circuits operate to control the first, second, and third grid portions independently from each other (21 and column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 7).

9. Regarding claim 9, Brodie ('327) teaches the first, second, and third control circuits are operated in a matrix-addressable manner (see column 3, lines 65-66).

10. Regarding claim 10, Brodie ('327) teaches the first, second, and third grid portions are substantially coplanar (see figure 4).

11. Regarding claim 12, Brodie ('327) teaches that the field emissive material is a cold cathode.

12. Regarding claim 14, Brodie ('327) in column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 7 the first control circuit operates to apply a voltage to the first grid portion to cause an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material in proximity to the first grid portion, wherein the second control circuit operates to apply a voltage to the second grid portion to cause an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material in proximity to the second grid portion, wherein the third control circuit operates to apply a voltage to the third grid portion to cause an emission of electrons from the electron

emissive material in proximity to the third grid portion. (read "driven individually", column 3, line 68)

13. Regarding claim 15, Brodie ('327) teaches in figures 1 and 4 and in column 1, line 40 through column 5, line 20 a display apparatus (11) comprising: a cathode (13); and a grid electrode having a plurality of individually controllable grid portions (44, 46, 47) for controlling emissions of electrons from each pixel area of the cathode.

14. Regarding claim 16, Brodie ('327) teaches the grid portions are controllable in a matrix-addressable manner (see column 3, lines 65-66).

15. Regarding claim 17, Brodie ('327) teaches in figure 4 that the grid portions are coplanar.

16. Regarding claim 18, Brodie ('327) teaches the grid portions are actively addressed.

17. Claims 1-3, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akama (US 5,903,092).

18. Regarding claim 1, Akama ('092) teaches in figure 42 and in column 27, lines 20-67, a display apparatus (no number) comprising: a cathode having an electron emissive material (101); a grid electrode (107a) positioned in proximity to the cathode, the grid

Art Unit: 2879

electrode having a plurality of grid portions each defining a pixel site; and control circuitry (118) for controlling each of the plurality of grid portions to independently cause an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material at each pixel site (see column 27, lines 64-67, the drivers are able to selectively operate the address and data lines, and also represented by three distinct lines from the driver).

19. Regarding claim 2, Akama ('092) teaches the plurality of grid portions are each electrically isolated from each other (by insulating layer 105).

20. Regarding claim 3, Akama ('092) teaches the plurality of grid portions are substantially coplanar with each other (see figure 43).

21. Regarding claim 8, Akama ('092) teaches in figure 42 and in column 27, lines 20-67 a display apparatus comprising: a cathode having an electron emissive material (101) deposited thereon; a grid electrode (107a) having first, second, and third grid portions; and a first control circuit for controlling activation of the first grid portion so as to control an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material proximate to the first grid portion; a second control circuit for controlling activation of the second grid portion so as to control an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material proximate to the second grid portion; a third control circuit for controlling activation of the third grid portion so as to control an emission of electrons from the electron emissive material proximate to the third grid portion, wherein the first, second, and third control

circuits operate to control the first, second, and third grid portions independently from each other (see column 27, lines 64-67, the drivers are able to selectively operate the address and data lines, and also represented by three distinct lines from the driver).

22. Regarding claim 10, Akama ('092) teaches the first, second, and third grid portions are substantially coplanar (see figure 43).

23. Regarding claim 11, Akama ('092) discloses in figure 42 that the first, second, and third grid portions are electrically isolated from each other (by insulator 105).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

24. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

25. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brodie et al. (US 5,603,327), of record, in view of Fahlen et al. (US 5,589,731).

26. Regarding claim 13, Brodie ('327) discloses all of the claimed limitations (see claim 8 above) except for the electron emissive material being a hot cathode.

Art Unit: 2879

27. Fahlen ('731) teaches in figure 15 and in column 25, lines 35-40 that a hot cathode can be interchanged with a cold cathode in a display device for the purpose of improving the efficiency of the emission layer.

28. Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the hot cathode of Fahlen in place of the cold cathode of Brodie for the purpose of improving the efficiency of the emission layer and thus improve the efficiency of the display.

Conclusion

29. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Swank (US 3,808,477) teaches that cold cathodes and hot cathode can be interchanged in a display device and Hirt (US 4,575,765) teaches individually controlling the pixels of a display.

Contact Information


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2879

308-7382 for regular communications and (703) 308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



Joseph Williams

Examiner

Art Unit 2879

May 14, 2003